

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

Online Appendix Tables

ONLINE TABLE 1.1. *Magnitude of U.S. and French Legal and Illegal Immigration*

	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2006
Net Migration (annual raw average and rate per 1,000 population)					
U.S.	370,000 (1.9)	660,000 (3.0)	850,000 (3.5)	1,140,000 (4.3)	1,299,000 (4.4)
France	198,000 (4.2)	67,000 (1.3)	53,000 (1.0)	52,500 (0.9)	144,000 (2.4)
Illegal Immigrant popul. (total and % of national population)					
U.S.	--	4.3 mil. / 2.0% (1975) 3 mil. / 1.3% (1980)	3 mil. / 1.3% (1986)	5 mil. / 1.9% (1996)	11.1 mil. / 3.8% (2005)
France	--	--	150,000 / 0.3% (1982)	350,000 / 0.6% (1991)	400,000 / 0.7% (2005)
Foreign-Born Population (% of national population)					
U.S.	5.4 (1960)	4.7 (1970)	6.2 (1980)	7.9% (1990)	11.1% (2000) 12.5% (2006)
France	7.5 (1962) 8.0 (1968)	9.1 (1975)	9.4 (1982)	9.4% (1990)	9.6% (1999) 10.1% (2006)

Sources:

Net Migration: Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat, available at MPI [Migration Policy Institute] Data Hub, at <http://www.migrationinformation.org/datahub/>. Illegal Immigrant population: For France: French Ministry of Social Affairs and National Solidarity, “The employment market and immigrants in an irregular situation: lessons from the recent legalization exercise in France,” *International Migration Review* 18, 3 (1984): 558–78; Philippe Bernard, *L’immigration* (Paris: Le Monde Editions, 1993); and François-Noël Buffet, rapporteur, “Sénat Rapport de la commission d’enquête sur l’immigration clandestine,” *Journal officiel* (Paris: April 7, 2006). For U.S.: Vernon M. Briggs, Jr., “Methods of analysis of illegal immigration into the United States,” *International Migration Review* 18 (1984): 623-41 [the 1975 figure shown here is the midpoint of his estimate range of 3.5-5.0 million] and Jeffrey S. Passel, “The Size and Characteristics of the Unauthorized Migrant Population in the U.S.,” Pew Hispanic Center Research Report, March 7, 2006. Proportions of national population are based on estimates from the French INSEE and U.S. Census Bureau. Foreign-born population: Joel Fetzer, *Public Attitudes toward Immigration in the United States, France, and Germany* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2000), pp. 161-66; MPI, “Country: United States,” available at: <http://www.migrationinformation.org/datahub/countrydata/country.cfm>, and INED, *Population selon la nationalité* (Paris: 2011), available at: http://www.insee.fr/fr/themes/tableau.asp?reg_id=0&ref_id=nattef02131&id=339

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

**ONLINE TABLE 1.2. U.S. Immigrant Rights and Restrictionist Associations:
Memberships, Staff Sizes, Budgets, and Major Funders**

Association (founding date)	Members	Prof. Staff	Budget (thousands of \$)	Major Sources of Funding
Immig. Rights advocacy				
ACLU Immigration Rights Project (1987)	500,000 (2010)	--	30,958 (2008, total ACLU budget)	--
American-Arab Anti-Discrim. Committee (1980)	40 chpts. (2010)	20 (2010)	1,866 (2009)	--
American Immigration Council (1987)	--	17	2,022 (2005)	--
Amer. Immigration Lawyers Assoc. (1949)	11,000 (2010)	--	12,449 (2008)	--
Central American Resource Center (1981)	--	13	1,152 (2006)	Bank of America, Clark Construction, Inter-American Development Bank, NCLR
League of United Latin Amer. Citizens/LULAC (1929)	115,000 (2009)	17	1,958 (2005)	Ford Motor Co., General Motors, Freddie Mac (2003)
Mex-Amer. Legal Defense & Educ. Fund/MALDEF (1968)	--	38	5,587 (2003)	Ford Found., Anheuser-Busch, Rockefeller Found., Soros Open Society, Washington Mutual Bank
Nat. Council of La Raza/NCLR (1968)	--	120 (2010)	39,972 (2008) 28,564 (2005)	Diverse foundation, individual contributions (significant U.S. federal funding until 1982)
National Immigration Forum (1982)	Coalition*	14	2,111 (2008)	Ford, MacArthur, Carnegie, Soros, & Fannie Mae foundations; *250 national groups & thousands of local groups
Opportunity Agenda (2004)	--	15	1,945 (2007)	Tides Foundation Also ACLU, Ford and Carnegie foundations
U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops (1966)	434 bishops	350	144,000 (2007)	Catholic parishioner contributions, church investments
Restrictionist groups				
Center for Immigration Studies / CIS (1985)	--	3 (1992) 12 (2007)	1,936 (2008)	--
Federation for American Immigration Reform / FAIR (1979)	250,000 (2010)	27 (2010)	5,657 (2006) 8,115 (2008)	60% from private grants and contracts Major donors: Warren Buffett and Cordelia Scalfe May (Mellon heiress) during 1980s
Minuteman Project (2004)	--	--	147 (2008)	--
Numbers USA (1997)	447,000 (2007) 50,000 (2004)	--	3,027 (2006)	--
Pro-English (1994)	50,000 (2010)	--	2,070 (2007)	--
U.S. English (1983)	1,800,000 (2010)	--	5,090 (2006) 6,000 (1988)	--
Vdare website (1999)	--	19*	515 (2007)	*includes contributing writers John Galbraith (250), Colcom Foundation (200), in 2007

Sources: Association and government documents and websites. — indicates only that data were not located.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 1.3. French Immigrant Rights Associations and Restrictionist Political Parties: Memberships, Staff Sizes, Budgets, and Major Funders

Association (founding date)	Members	Prof. Staff	Budget (thousands of euros)	Major Sources of Funding (thousands of euros)
Immigrant Rights advocacy				
Amnesty International France (1971)	21,972	66	14,856 (2006)	All private funding: donations, membership dues, sales, etc.
CIMADE (1939)	1,000 members 6,000 donors (2005)	46	7,096 (2006)	4,777 (govt.) (67.3%) 1,470 (private donations) (20.7%) 145 (churches & foundations) (2.0%) 704 (other) (2006)
France Terre d'Asile (France Land of Asylum) (1971)	--	447 (2006)	35, 472 (2005)	--
GISTI (1972)	197 members 815 correspondents	8	636 (2005) 663 (2007)	22% state subsidies; 78% private donations (2007)
LDH (French Human Rights League) (1898)	7,187 (2006)	15	20,000 (2010)	Primarily individual donations State funding (2010): Paris region government (Ile-de-France): 173
MRAP (Movement Against Racism and for Friendship Between Peoples) (1949)	4,500 (2008)	18	--	70% state (national, regional, local), e.g. Ile-de-France (2010): 11 30% private (subscriptions, sale of goods or services)
Ni putes, ni soumises (Neither Whores Nor Submissive) (2003)	1,000 individuals, 60 assoc. members (2006)	<10 (2006)	500 (2006)	60% state funds, including city of Paris (2006); private funds from La Fondation Vinci, Philip Morris, Dassault (2005)
SOS-Racisme	--	--	81 (2007)	Primarily state funds (2007 budget only includes state funds for Paris national office from Ile-de-France)
Restrictionist political parties				
National Front (FN)	--	--	5,000 (est)	State funding (2004): 4,300 Private funding: 150 or more (minimum amount required, from at least 10,000 individuals, including 500 elected officials: this is one requirement to receive state funding) Paris office total budget: 200-300 (<i>Le Parisien</i> , "Le FN à la peine," 12/6/2007)
Republican National Movement (MNR)	--	--	--	No significant state funding (because party has failed to gain minimum 5% of vote)

Sources: Association and government documents and websites; — indicates only that data were not located.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 1.4. *Frames and Relevant U.S. and French Immigration Histories*

Govern- ment / Frame	1970s	1980s	1990s	2000s
U.S. Admins.	Nixon (1969-74), Rep. Ford (1974-76), Rep. Carter (1977-80), Dem.	Reagan (1981-88), Rep. Bush (1989-92), Rep.	Bush (1989-92), Rep. Clinton (1993-2000) Dem.	Bush (2001-2008), Rep. Obama (2009-), Dem.
France Admins.	Pompidou (1969-74), right Giscard d'Estaing (1974- 1981), right	Mitterrand (1981-1995), socialist General Assembly: 1981-85 (socialist majority), 1986-88 (right)	Mitterrand (1981- 1995), socialist Chirac (1995-2007), right General Assembly: 1988-93 (socialist), 1993-97 (right), 1997- 2002 (socialist)	Chirac (1995-2007), right Sarkozy (2007-), right General Assembly: 2002- (right)
Public Order	U.S. Atty Gen. Saxbe warns of “national crisis” (1974) Border enforcement budget of \$300 million FAIR (1979)		Light up the Border (1990) NumbersUSA (1997) Peter Brimelow's Vdare (1999)	U.S. Border Enforcement budget rises to \$1.8 billion (2000), then \$4 billion (2008) 9/11 World Trade Center attacks (2001) Minuteman Project (2004); Americans for Legal Immigration (2005); H.R. 4473 (2006)
	Ordre Nouveau protest against <i>immigration sauvage</i> (1973)	Bonnet law (1980) FN “Dreux” election victory (1983) Pasqua law (1986)	Banlieue riots in Sartrouville and Mantes-la-Jolie (1991) Socialist PM Cresson proposes charter air flights to deport illegal immigrants (1991): New Pasqua laws (1993) MNR (Bruno Megret) splits from FN (1998)	Le Pen in 2 nd round of presidential elec. (2002) Banlieue riots (2005)
Jobs Threat & Good Worker	UFW pickets against Mexican illegal “strikebreakers” (1972-4) UFW drops campaign against illegal workers (1975)	IRCA law (1986) includes employer sanctions, supported by AFL-CIO SEIU, ILGW organize illegal immigrants (1985->)		AFL-CIO drops support for employer sanctions (2000)
	Giscard d'Estaing ends worker immigration (1974)	Mitterrand enacts employer sanctions (1981)		Sarkozy <i>immigration choisie</i> bill (2006)
Fiscal	Atty Gen. Saxbe mentions fiscal frame in crisis speech (1974)	Americans for Border Control (1986)	Calif. Proposition 187 (1994); U.S. welfare bill restricts benefits to immigrants (1996)	

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

			Chirac “Noise and Odor” remark (1991)	
Cultural Diversity / Racism	MALDEF (1968) La Raza (1968) Nixon admin. affirmative action policies (early 1970s)			
	French Anti-racism law (1972) Giscard d’Estaing policies to promote/preserve immigr. cultural identities (related to return to home country) (1974-)	MRAP promotes “right to be different” (1981) Marche des beurs (1983) SOS-Racisme (1984)		2001 / 2005 anti-discrim. law & enforcement agency
Humanitarian	INS Commissioner Castillo (1977-79)	Nat. Immig. Forum (1982) MALDEF wins Plyler v. Doe (1982), right to school for illegal immigr. children ACLU Immig. Rights Project (1987)		Humane Borders (2000)
	FASTI (1966) Protests of Marcellin-Fontanet law (1972)	Mitterrand legalizes immigr. associations (1981) Forum Réfugiés (1982)	Sans papiers St-Bernard church occupation (1996)	
Integration / National Cohesion	LULAC, GI Forum stress assimilation until late 1970s	U.S. English (1983) FAIR promotes “acculturation” (1986) Calif. Prop. 63 (1986)	Pro-English (1994)	Republicans criticize Spanish-language national anthem and immigr. marchers waving Mexican flags (2006)
	Massenet : “Limit of tolerance” (1970)	Mauroy: criticizes Muslim workers (1983) National Front victories (1983-) Taguieff, <i>La force du préjugé</i> (1988) First headscarves affair (1989) High Council on Integration (1989)	Pasqua law nationality reforms (1993)	Second headscarves affair (2003-04) Law banning “ostentatious” religious signs in secondary schools (2004)
Global Economy	Chicanos (Brown Berets, Raza Unida, CASA) link race, class, labor	Central American Resource Center (1981)	NAFTA “free trade” legislation (1994): academic criticism	Anti-globalization protests in Seattle (2000)
	Post-1968 Gauchiste parties		Anti-globalization assoc. Attac (1998)	

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 1.5. *Specialization in French and U.S. Immigration Reporting*

	1973 / 1974-75	1983 / 1986	1991 / 1994	2002	2004	2006	2002- 2006 total
Top three bylines: % of all bylines (n bylines)							
French journalists	43% (36)	29% (148)	30% (309)	21% (256)	29% (249)	55% (178)	25% (683)
U.S. journalists	37% (59)	48% (106)	42% (172)	29% (108)	24% (79)	29% (200)	17% (387)
Average Bylines Per Journalist (n journalists)							
French journalists	1.4 (27)	2.0 (69)	2.7 (114)	2.0 (130)	2.0 (123)	2.5 (71)	2.7 (244)
U.S. journalists	1.3 (44)	1.8 (59)	2.5 (76)	1.4 (77)	1.3 (64)	1.9 (107)	1.8 (218)

Figures represent averages of individual percentages/means for *Le Monde*, *Le Figaro*, and *Libération* (except for 1973) for France; and for *Los Angeles Times*, *New York Times*, and *Washington Post* for the U.S.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 1.6. *Gender and National Origin of French and U.S. Immigration Reporters*

	1974-75 / 1973	1986 / 1983	1994 / 1991	2002	2004	2006	2002- 2006 total
Female: % of journalists (n journalists)							
French journalists	14% (27)	21% (69)	19% (114)	41% (130)	37% (123)	45% (71)	41% (244)
U.S. journalists	12% (44)	29% (59)	26% (76)	40% (77)	32% (64)	36% (107)	33% (218)
Female: % of bylines (n bylines)							
French journalists	11% (36)	25% (148)	28% (309)	47% (256)	45% (249)	53% (178)	47% (683)
U.S. journalists	12% (59)	27% (106)	28% (172)	40% (108)	33% (79)	46% (200)	42% (387)
Spanish (U.S.)/Arab (France) language surnames: % of journalists (n journalists)							
French journalists	0% (27)	3% (69)	2% (114)	0% (130)	2% (123)	0% (71)	1% (244)
U.S. journalists	7% (44)	4% (59)	8% (76)	4% (77)	10% (64)	13% (107)	9% (218)
Spanish (U.S.)/Arabic (France) language surnames: % of bylines (n bylines)							
French journalists	0% (36)	2% (148)	1% (309)	0% (256)	1% (249)	0% (178)	0% (683)
U.S. journalists	13% (59)	4% (106)	15% (172)	6% (108)	9% (79)	9% (200)	8% (387)

Figures represent averages of individual percentages for *Le Monde*, *Le Figaro*, and *Libération* (except for 1973) for France; and for *Los Angeles Times*, *New York Times*, and *Washington Post* for the U.S.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 2.1. *Journalistic Genres by Individual Newspaper, 2002-2006**

Media Outlet (N news packages)	Event News (%)	Feature/ Back- ground (%)	Interview (%)	Analysis (%)	Commen- tary- Journalist (%)	Commen- tary- Non Journalist (%)	Multi- Article Ensem- ble (>1 article) (%)	Multi-Genre Ensemble (At least One Genre other than Event or Feature / Background) (%)
L'Humanité (63)	65.1	46.0	46.0	4.8	19.0	0.0	65.1	52.4
La Croix (56)	60.7	64.3	33.9	1.8	28.6	8.9	66.1	48.2
Libération (60)	91.7	38.3	30.0	13.3	73.3	3.3	93.3	80.0
Le Monde (60)	58.3	30.0	20.0	10.0	13.3	11.7	66.7	46.7
Le Figaro (48)	77.1	18.8	27.1	6.3	16.7	14.6	75.0	56.2
Les Echos (45)	71.1	26.7	4.4	2.2	8.9	0.0	55.6	15.6
Le Parisien (47)	70.2	57.4	61.7	4.3	2.1	0.0	87.2	61.7
FRANCE Averages	70.6	40.2	31.9	6.1	23.1	5.5	72.7	51.5
CS Monitor (56)	32.1	67.9	0.0	7.1	10.7	0.0	21.4	14.3
LA Times (87)	56.3	47.1	0.0	6.9	4.6	1.1	25.3	12.6
NY Times (68)	64.7	35.3	0.0	4.4	7.4	2.9	26.5	13.2
Wash Post (81)	51.9	48.1	0.0	3.7	6.2	0.0	14.8	11.1
WS Journal (62)	40.3	59.7	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	8.1	3.2
USA Today (48)	60.4	50.0	0.0	4.2	4.2	2.1	27.1	10.4
Daily News (69)	91.3	13.0	0.0	0.0	11.6	0.0	15.9	11.6
USA Averages	56.7	45.9	0.0	3.8	6.8	0.9	19.9	10.9
NY Post (71)	95.8	8.5	0.0	1.4	4.2	0.0	9.9	5.6

*Categories are not mutually exclusive. Percentages may add up to more than 100 because a news package may contain multiple articles of diverse genres.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 2.2. *Field Generation of News by Individual Newspaper, 2002-2006*

Media Outlet (N news packages)	Political field generated	(Political Scandals)*	Civil Society fields generated	(Accidents)*	Journalistic field generated
L'Humanité (63)	36.5	(3.2)	33.3	(0.0)	30.2
La Croix (56)	55.4	(0.0)	23.2	(0.0)	21.4
Libération (60)	53.4	(1.7)	31.6	(3.3)	15.0
Le Monde (60)	48.3	(3.3)	16.7	(0.0)	35.0
Le Figaro (48)	62.5	(2.1)	20.8	(0.0)	16.7
Les Echos (45)	73.3	(0.0)	8.9	(0.0)	17.8
Le Parisien (47)	46.9	(4.3)	21.3	(0.0)	31.9
FRANCE Averages	53.8	(2.1)	22.3	(0.5)	24.0
CS Monitor (56)	51.8	(0.0)	14.3	(0.0)	33.9
LA Times (87)	51.7	(5.7)	17.2	(0.0)	31.0
NY Times (68)	60.3	(4.4)	10.3	(0.0)	29.4
Wash Post (81)	54.3	(4.9)	4.9	(1.2)	40.7
WS Journal (62)	45.2	(0.0)	4.8	(0.0)	50.0
USA Today (48)	48.0	(4.2)	6.3	(2.1)	45.8
Daily News (69)	69.6	(11.6)	15.9	(7.2)	14.5
USA Averages	54.4	(4.4)	10.5	(1.5)	35.0
NY Post (71)	83.1	(15.5)	12.6	(5.6)	4.2

*Political scandals, unless clearly revealed by journalistic initiative, are included in political field-generated news; accidents are included in civil society news. Figures in parentheses represent separate scandal and accident percentages of totals.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 2.3. *Field Generation of News for Newspapers and TV News by Decade, 1970s-2000s*

Country / Time Period (N news packages)	Political field generated (%)	Civil Society fields Generated (%)	Journalistic field Generated (%)
Fr press (37)	29.7	37.8	24.3
TV (26)	(26.9)	(30.8)	(42.3)
Total 1970s (63)	28.3	34.3	33.3
Fr press (72)	52.8	30.6	13.9
TV (44)	(29.5)	(47.7)	(11.4)
Total 1980s (116)	41.2	39.2	12.7
Fr press (132)	59.8	28.8	11.4
TV (88)	(64.8)	(19.3)	(11.4)
Total 1990s (220)	62.3	24.1	11.4
Fr press (168)	54.2	23.2	22.6
TV (168)	(39.9)	(35.7)	(23.8)
Total 2000s (336)	46.6	29.5	23.2
France AVG.	49.1	30.1	18.1
	(40.3)	(33.4)	(22.2)
	44.6	31.8	20.2
U.S. press (63)	57.1	9.5	31.7
TV (12)	(58.3)	(8.3)	(33.3)
Total 1970s (75)	57.7	8.9	32.5
U.S. press (81)	60.5	7.4	29.6
TV (37)	(40.5)	(8.1)	(51.4)
Total 1980s (118)	50.5	7.8	40.5
U.S. press (106)	49.1	15.1	35.8
TV (55)	(56.4)	(20.0)	(18.2)
Total 1990s (161)	52.8	17.6	26.9
U.S. press (236)	55.1	11.0	33.9
TV (175)	(58.3)	(16.0)	(25.7)
Total 2000s (411)	56.7	13.5	29.8
U.S. AVG.	55.5	10.8	32.8
	(53.4)	(13.1)	(32.2)
	54.4	12.0	32.4

Note: Percentages are averages of core newspaper (LAT, NYT, WP in U.S.; LM, LF, and *Libération*) and television (ABC, CBS, NBC in U.S.; France 2 and TF1 in France) news packages mentioning a given frame. Due to rounding, percentages may not add up exactly to totals/averages.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 5.1. Field Generation, Feature Genre, and Frames: Television Core Samples, Combined 1970s-2000s

Country / News Generation	Glob Econ.	Human -tarian	Rac- ism	Cult. Diver.	Integ.	Good Worker	Jobs	Pub Order	Fiscal	National Cohesion
France										
Pol Field (n=144)	6%	51%	18%	5%	14%	6%	4%	46%	8%	19%
			-.153**				.124*	.197	.147	.174
							**	**	**	**
CS Fields (n=106)	4%	73%	26%	5%	13%	2%	0%	25%	0%	8%
			.182**			-.117*		-.156**	-.147	**
Journ Field (n=66)	9%	58%	14%	8%	18%	12%	2%	30%	5%	8%
						.135*				
Only Feature (n=95)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
U.S.										
Pol Field (n=155)	6%	41%	10%	1%	6%	12%	7%	63%	14%	3%
					-.133*	-.168	-.137*		.228**	
						**				
CS Fields (n=43)	2%	63%	23%	2%	9%	28%	7%	40%	26%	14%
			.154**			.137*			.126*	.162**
Journ Field (n=78)	18%	41%	8%	6%	21%	19%	6%	41%	12%	5%
Only Feature (n=94)	--	--	--		.128	.155	--	--	-.150	
					*	**			*	

* p<.05; ** p<.01. Only significant bivariate correlations are shown. Percentages refer to proportion of news packages in which frame appeared.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

**ONLINE TABLE 5.2. French and U.S. Immigration Frames by Medium and Decade,
1970s-2000s (Proportion of News Packages)**

Country (N Articles / News Segments)	Glob Econ	Human- itarian	Racism/ Xeno	Pro Diverse	Integ	Good Work	Jobs	Pub Ord	Fiscal	Nat Cohes
France press (37)	36	89	73	4	2	41	26	74	11	23
TV (26)	(4)	(50)	(19)	(0)	(4)	(15)	(0)	(15)	(0)	(0)
Total 1970s (63)	20	70	46	2	3	28	13	45	6	12
France press (72)	6	79	73	23	8	10	17	70	16	42
TV (44)	(5)	(62)	(37)	(5)	(0)	(10)	(2)	(26)	(0)	(5)
Total 1980s (116)	6	71	55	14	4	10	10	48	8	24
France press (132)	28	90	44	5	16	11	11	82	13	46
TV (88)	(2)	(53)	(23)	(7)	(9)	(1)	(5)	(58)	(9)	(27)
Total 1990s (220)	15	72	34	6	13	6	8	70	11	37
France press (168)	32	81	35	9	17	23	7	61	11	42
TV (168)	(9)	(65)	(15)	(6)	(22)	(6)	(1)	(29)	(4)	(10)
Total 2000s (336)	21	73	25	8	20	15	4	45	8	26
France AVG.	26	85	56	10	11	21	15	72	13	38
	(5)	(58)	(24)	(5)	(9)	(8)	(2)	(32)	(3)	(11)
	16	72	40	7	10	15	9	52	8	25
U.S. press (63)	30	50	17	10	4	23	38	53	25	0
TV (12)	(6)	(39)	(0)	(0)	(22)	(6)	(56)	(50)	(11)	(0)
Total 1970s (75)	18	45	9	5	13	15	47	52	18	0
U.S. press (81)	22	56	36	9	16	42	17	58	20	7
TV (37)	(19)	(43)	(9)	(3)	(17)	(16)	(15)	(45)	(13)	(11)
Total 1980s (118)	21	45	23	6	17	29	16	52	17	9
U.S. press (106)	11	69	35	3	13	19	11	58	48	14
TV (55)	(14)	(41)	(15)	(2)	(0)	(11)	(1)	(52)	(37)	(2)
Total 1990s (161)	13	55	25	3	7	15	6	55	43	8
U.S. press (236)	12	79	22	9	15	30	12	69	12	13
TV (175)	(6)	(48)	(11)	(3)	(11)	(18)	(4)	(55)	(9)	(6)
Total 2000s (411)	9	64	17	6	13	24	8	62	11	9
U.S. AVG.	19	64	28	8	12	29	20	60	26	9
	(11)	(43)	(9)	(2)	(13)	(13)	(19)	(51)	(18)	(5)
	15	54	19	5	12	21	20	56	22	7

Note: Percentages are averages of core newspaper (LAT, NYT, WP in U.S.; LM, LF, and *Libération*) and television (ABC, CBS, NBC in U.S.; France 2 and TF1 in France) news packages mentioning a given frame. Frame categories are not mutually exclusive: percentages across rows may add up to more than 100 because a news package may contain multiple frames. Due to rounding, column percentages may not add up exactly to totals/averages.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

**ONLINE TABLE 5.3. French and U.S. Immigration Frames by Medium and Decade,
1970s-2000s (Proportion of Total Frame Mentions)**

Country (N Frame Mentions)	Glob Econ	Human- itarian	Racism/ Xeno	Pro Diverse	Integ	Good Work	Jobs Threat	Public Ord	Fiscal	Nat Cohes
Fr Press (135)	9.5	24	19.7	0.9	0.7	11	6.7	19.4	2.6	5.6
TV (34)	(3.6)	(46.4)	(17.9)	(0.0)	(3.6)	(14.3)	(2.9)	(14.3)	(0)	(0.0)
Total 1970s (169)	6.6	35.2	18.8	0.5	2.2	12.7	4.8	16.9	1.3	2.8
Fr press (236)	1.6	25.5	21.3	6.8	2.3	2.9	5.0	20.5	4.5	12.0
TV (65)	(3.1)	(41.5)	(24.6)	(3.1)	(0.0)	(6.2)	(1.5)	(16.9)	(0.0)	(3.1)
Total 1980s (301)	2.4	33.5	23.0	5.0	1.2	4.6	3.3	18.7	2.3	7.6
Fr press (451)	8.2	25.9	12.7	1.3	4.7	3.1	3.1	24.1	3.8	13.2
TV (170)	(1.2)	(27.1)	(11.8)	(3.5)	(4.7)	(0.6)	(2.4)	(30.0)	(4.7)	(14.1)
Total 1990s (621)	4.7	26.5	12.3	2.4	4.7	1.9	2.8	27.1	4.3	13.7
Fr press (534)	10.2	25.3	11.0	2.8	5.1	7.2	2.3	19.2	3.4	13.4
TV (277)	(5.1)	(39.4)	(9.0)	(3.3)	(13.4)	(3.6)	(0.7)	(17.7)	(2.2)	(5.8)
Total 2000s (811)	8.2	32.4	10.0	3.1	9.3	5.4	1.5	18.5	2.8	9.6
France AVG.	7.4 (3.3) 5.4	25.2 (38.6) 31.9	16.2 (15.8) 16.0	3.0 (2.5) 2.8	3.2 (5.4) 4.3	6.1 (6.2) 6.2	4.3 (1.9) 3.1	20.8 (19.7) 20.3	3.6 (1.7) 2.7	11.1 (5.8) 8.5
U.S. press (156)	12.2	19.9	6.7	4.2	1.7	9.1	15.2	21.3	9.6	0.0
TV (23)	(4.4)	(21.7)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(13.0)	(4.4)	(26.1)	(26.1)	(4.4)	(0.0)
Total 1970s (179)	8.3	20.8	3.4	2.1	7.4	6.8	20.7	23.7	7.0	0.0
U.S. press (231)	7.7	19.6	12.7	3.1	5.8	14.8	5.9	20.5	7.2	2.6
TV (71)	(11.3)	(22.5)	(5.6)	(1.4)	(8.5)	(8.5)	(7.0)	(22.5)	(7.0)	(5.6)
Total 1980s (302)	9.5	21.1	9.2	2.3	7.2	11.7	6.5	21.5	7.1	4.1
U.S. press (304)	3.8	24.9	12.2	0.9	4.7	6.8	3.9	21.1	16.9	4.9
TV (95)	(7.4)	(23.2)	(8.4)	(1.1)	(0.0)	(6.3)	(1.1)	(29.5)	(22.1)	(1.1)
Total 1990s (399)	5.6	24.1	10.3	1.0	2.4	6.6	2.5	25.3	19.5	3.0
U.S. press (650)	4.6	28.9	8.1	3.1	5.6	10.9	4.5	25.1	4.6	4.7
TV (298)	(3.0)	(27.5)	(6.7)	(1.7)	(6.7)	(10.7)	(2.4)	(32.9)	(5.0)	(3.4)
Total 2000s (948)	3.8	28.2	7.4	2.4	6.2	10.8	3.5	29	4.8	4.1
U.S. AVG.	7.1 (6.5) 6.8	23.3 (23.7) 23.5	9.9 (5.2) 7.6	2.8 (1.1) 2.0	4.5 (7.1) 5.8	10.4 (7.5) 9.0	7.4 (9.2) 8.3	22.0 (27.8) 24.9	9.6 (9.6) 9.6	3.1 (2.5) 2.8

Note: Press percentages are averages of core outlets; TV data are raw aggregates. Percentages are of total frames mentioned (each coded as presence/absence in a news package); given that most packages mention multiple frames, n for frames exceeds that of news packages (as in Online Table 5.2).

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 5.4. French and U.S. Partisan Types of Speakers by Medium and Decade, 1970s-2000s (Proportion of All Speakers Cited)

Country (N Speakers)	Far Left Parties	Labor unions	Left Assoc *	Center left Govt/ Parties	Center R Gov/ Parties	Busi- ness	Right assoc. *	Far Right parties
Fr Press (423)	5	12	12	3	12	2	6	5
TV (38)	(3)	(11)	(11)	(3)	(21)	(3)	(0)	(9)
Total 1970s (461)	4	12	12	3	17	3	3	7
Fr press (969)	2	13	9	19	10	3	1	4
TV (115)	(0)	(11)	(14)	(15)	(8)	(7)	(1)	(5)
Tot 1980s (1084)	1	12	12	17	9	5	1	5
Fr press (1750)	2	4	7	22	21	2	1	4
TV (323)	(2)	(0)	(9)	(17)	(14)	(3)	(0)	(4)
Tot 1990s (2073)	2	2	8	20	18	3	1	4
Fr press (3021)	4	2	11	6	18	1	0	3
TV (848)	(1)	(2)	(16)	(2)	(9)	(1)	(0)	(1)
Tot 2000s (3869)	3	2	14	4	14	1	0	2
France AVG.	3	8	10	13	15	2	2	4
	(2)	(6)	(13)	(9)	(13)	(4)	(0)	(5)
	3	7	12	11	14	3	1	5
U.S. press (466)	0	5	7	6	7	3	2	0
TV (28)	(0)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(32)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Total 1970s (494)	0	4	6	5	20	2	1	0
U.S. press (888)	0	2	6	9	16	8	2	0
TV (182)	(0)	(1)	(4)	(1)	(10)	(4)	(5)	(0)
Tot 1980s (1070)	0	2	5	5	13	6	4	0
U.S. press (1453)	0	1	9	13	7	3	3	0
TV (272)	(0)	(0)	(10)	(7)	(9)	(3)	(4)	(0)
Tot 1990s (1725)	0	1	10	10	8	3	4	0
U.S. press (3283)	0	1	9	5	18	5	2	0
TV (1036)	(0)	(1)	(8)	(4)	(18)	(4)	(3)	(0)
Tot 2000s (4319)	0	1	9	5	18	5	3	0
U.S. AVG.	0	2	8	8	12	5	2	0
	(0)	(1)	(7)	(5)	(17)	(3)	(3)	(0)
	0	2	8	7	15	4	3	0

*Left associations include but are not limited to immigrant rights groups; Right associations include but are not limited to restrictionist groups.

Note: Percentages are averages of individual core newspaper (LAT, NYT, WP in U.S.; LM, LF, and *Libération*) and television channel (ABC, CBS, NBC in U.S.; France 2 and TF1 in France) speakers cited/paraphrased. Due to rounding, percentages may not add up exactly to totals/averages.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 5.5. French and U.S. Institutional Types of Speakers by Medium and Decade, 1970s-2000s (Proportion of All Speakers Cited)

Country (N Speakers Cited)	Govt/ Bureauc / Center parties	Civ Soc	Civ Soc + Perip parties	Busi- ness	Foreign Organiz.	Immig Indiv.	Non- Immig. Indiv.	Indiv + Polls
Fr Press (423)	26	47	57	2	6	5	4	9
TV (38)	(32)	(25)	(37)	(2)	(0)	(24)	(5)	(28)
Total 1970s (461)	29	36	47	2	3	15	5	19
Fr press (969)	41	32	38	3	2	10	6	16
TV (115)	(28)	(28)	(32)	(7)	(3)	(21)	(8)	(30)
Tot 1980s (1084)	35	30	35	5	3	16	7	23
Fr press (1750)	58	20	26	2	1	8	2	13
TV (323)	(51)	(16)	(22)	(3)	(1)	(15)	(8)	(24)
Tot 1990s (2073)	55	18	24	3	1	12	5	19
Fr press (3021)	37	31	37	1	13	8	3	15
TV (848)	(28)	(29)	(32)	(1)	(3)	(25)	(8)	(37)
Tot 2000s (3869)	33	30	35	1	8	17	6	26
France AVG.	41 (35) 38	32 (25) 29	39 (31) 35	2 (3) 3	6 (2) 4	8 (21) 15	4 (7) 6	13 (30) 22
U.S. press (466)	54	21	21	3	7	7	2	15
TV (28)	(71)	(10)	(10)	(0)	(3)	(15)	(0)	(18)
Total 1970s (494)	63	16	16	2	5	11	1	17
U.S. press (888)	52	21	21	8	3	9	3	17
TV (182)	(35)	(21)	(21)	(4)	(4)	(26)	(8)	(36)
Tot 1980s (1070)	44	21	21	6	4	18	6	27
U.S. press (1453)	54	25	25	3	0	7	7	18
TV (272)	(53)	(19)	(19)	(3)	(2)	(8)	(11)	(25)
Tot 1990s (1725)	54	22	22	3	1	8	9	22
U.S. press (3283)	47	23	23	5	5	10	5	21
TV (1036)	(47)	(20)	(20)	(4)	(3)	(15)	(7)	(27)
Tot 2000s (4319)	47	22	22	5	4	13	6	24
U.S. AVG	52 (52) 52	22 (18) 20	22 (18) 20	5 (3) 4	4 (3) 4	8 (16) 12	4 (7) 6	18 (27) 23

Note: Percentages are averages of individual core newspaper (LAT, NYT, WP in U.S.; LM, LF, and *Libération*) and television channel (ABC, CBS, NBC in U.S.; France 2 and TF1 in France) speakers cited/paraphrased. Due to rounding, percentages may not add up exactly to totals/averages.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 6.1. Average Words Per Speaker Quoted by French and U.S. Individual Newspapers, 2002-2006

Media Outlet	Average Total Words Per Speaker (n speakers)	Average Total Words Per University Expert Cited (n speakers)	Average Total Words Per Speaker excluding interview citations > 300 words (n speakers)
L'Humanité	123.8 (211)	844.5 (4)	87.3 (202)
La Croix	66.1 (192)	256.8 (5)	58.4 (188)
Libération	57.9 (222)	31.4 (7)	45.5 (219)
Le Monde	116.9 (178)	349.8 (12)	72.6 (169)
Le Figaro	85.1 (146)	540.5 (4)	43.7 (140)
Les Echos	49.2 (102)	93.0 (9)	44.7 (101)
Le Parisien	66.9 (175)	90.0 (1)	47.8 (170)
France	80.8	315.1	57.1
AVG.	(1,226)	(42)	(1,189)
CS Monitor	42.7 (191)	68.1 (18)	42.7 (191)
LA Times	45.8 (297)	42.8 (12)	45.8 (297)
NY Times	44.7 (187)	68.3 (12)	44.7 (187)
Wash Post	37.3 (235)	34.9 (7)	37.3 (235)
WS Journal	31.3 (183)	25.8 (10)	31.3 (183)
USA Today	30.2 (134)	48.8 (6)	30.2 (134)
Daily News	27.0 (220)	20.0 (7)	27.0 (220)
U.S.	37.0	44.1	37.0
AVG.	(1,447)	(72)	(1,447)
NY Post	33.8 (146)	0.0 (0)	33.8 (146)

Note: Figures are based on word counts of the first five speakers quoted in a given news package (including all words attributed to these speakers throughout the package).

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 6.2. *Immigration Frames in French Core Newspapers by Decade, 1970s-2000s (Percentages of News Packages and High-Low Percentage Point Gaps)*

Outlets (n news packages)	Glob Econ	Hu- Man.	Racism/ Xeno	Cult. Diver.	Integ	Good worker	Jobs	Pub Ord	Fis- cal	Nat Cohes	Avg. High- low GAP
LM 70s (23)	35	91	74	0	4	39	22	61	0	9	10.4
LF 70s (14)	36 (1)	86 (5)	71 (3)	7 (7)	0 (4)	43 (4)	29 (7)	86 (25)	21 (21)	36 (27)	(LM- LF)
Libé 80s(18)	6	67	89	50	11	11	28	50	17	22	15.0
LM 80s (43)	2	88	58	19	12	9	14	70	12	23	(LM- LF)
LF 80s (11)	9 (7)	82 (21)	73 (31)	0 (50)	0 (12)	9 (2)	9 (19)	91 (41)	18 (6)	82 (60)	24.9
Libé 90s(34)	15	97	50	12	29	18	15	79	15	47	7.8
LM 90s (49)	33	94	47	0	12	14	12	78	10	49	(LM- LF)
LF 90s (49)	35 (20)	78 (19)	35 (15)	2 (12)	8 (21)	2 (16)	6 (9)	90 (12)	14 (5)	41 (8)	13.7
Libé 00s(60)	38	92	37	12	20	28	8	57	7	40	6.5
LM 00s (60)	30	85	38	5	17	20	5	58	7	43	(LM- LF)
LF 00s (48)	29 (9)	65 (27)	29 (9)	10 (7)	13 (7)	21 (8)	8 (3)	67 (10)	19 (12)	44 (4)	9.6
Avg High – Low Gap											(LM- LF)
LM-LF	2.8	11.8	9.8	8.3	6.0	4.3	5.3	16.8	10.8	23.8	9.9
All 80s-00s	12.0	22.3	18.3	23.0	13.3	8.7	10.3	21.0	7.7	24.0	16.1
ALL	9.3	18.0	14.5	19.0	11.0	7.5	9.5	22.0	11.0	24.8	14.7

Note: Frame categories are not mutually exclusive: percentages across rows may add up to more than 100 because a news package may contain multiple frames.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 6.3. *Immigration Frames in U.S. Core Newspapers by Decade, 1970s-2000s (Percentages of News Packages and High-Low Percentage Point Gaps)*

Outlets (n news packages)	Glob Econ	Hu- Man	Racism/ Xeno	Cult. Diver	Integ	Good worker	Jobs	Pub Ord	Fis- cal	Nat Cohes	Avg. High- low GAP
LAT 70s (31)	29	61	13	0	0	6	32	77	23	0	
NYT 70s (15)	33	53	27	7	0	33	40	53	33	0	
WPost 70s (17)	29 (4)	35 (26)	12 (15)	24 (24)	12 (12)	29 (27)	41 (9)	29 (48)	18 (15)	0 (0)	18.0 (12.0*)
LAT 80s (33)	18	67	36	12	18	33	24	45	21	9	
NYT 80s (30)	20	40	33	3	20	43	27	63	23	13	
WPost 80s (18)	28 (10)	61 (27)	39 (6)	11 (9)	11 (9)	50 (17)	0 (27)	67 (22)	17 (6)	0 (13)	14.6
LAT 90s (54)	9	70	43	4	7	19	13	59	67	15	
NYT 90s (25)	4	64	24	0	16	20	12	72	40	8	
WPost 90s (27)	19 (15)	74 (10)	37 (19)	4 (4)	15 (9)	19 (1)	7 (6)	44 (28)	37 (30)	19 (11)	13.3
LAT 00s (87)	11	80	20	6	11	37	14	69	11	20	
NYT 00s (68)	16	78	24	9	13	34	16	62	16	6	
WPost 00s (81)	10 (6)	80 (2)	23 (4)	11 (5)	21 (10)	20 (17)	7 (9)	77 (15)	10 (6)	14 (14)	8.8
Avg. High-Low Gap	8.8	16.3	11.0	10.5	10.0	15.5	12.8	28.3	14.3	9.5	13.7 (12.2*)

*To facilitate comparison with the 1970s French data that only includes *Le Monde* and *Le Figaro*, the 1970s U.S. figure in parentheses is the average of the binary differences between the three U.S. core newspapers and the total U.S. figure in parentheses represents the adjusted average.

Note: Frame categories are not mutually exclusive: percentages across rows may add up to more than 100 because a news package may contain multiple frames.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

**ONLINE TABLE 7.1. *Types of Critical Statements by Individual Newspaper, 2002-2006
(Per 1,000 words and Percentage of Total)***

Media Outlet (N 1,000 word units)	Admin.	Character	Truth	Ideology	Policy	Strategy	Total
L'Humanité (109)	0.28 (10%)	0.06 (2%)	0.13 (5%)	0.31 (11%)	1.54 (57%)	0.39 (14%)	2.71
La Croix (124)	0.18 (10%)	0.03 (2%)	0.02 (1%)	0.27 (15%)	1.04 (59%)	0.21 (12%)	1.75
Libération (192)	0.24 (12%)	0.09 (4%)	0.06 (3%)	0.45 (22%)	0.87 (42%)	0.35 (17%)	2.06
Le Monde (150)	0.12 (7%)	0.07 (4%)	0.06 (3%)	0.29 (16%)	0.92 (50%)	0.39 (21%)	1.86
Le Figaro (138)	0.09 (7%)	0.09 (7%)	0.06 (4%)	0.28 (20%)	0.68 (49%)	0.19 (14%)	1.39
Les Echos (56)	0.16 (10%)	0.00 (0%)	0.02 (1%)	0.29 (18%)	1.04 (64%)	0.13 (8%)	1.63
Le Parisien (84)	0.25 (14%)	0.08 (4%)	0.05 (3%)	0.50 (27%)	0.63 (34%)	0.32 (17%)	1.84
FRANCE AVG.	0.19	0.06	0.06	0.34	0.96	0.28	1.89
Avg. % of Total	10%	3%	3%	18%	51%	15%	
CS Monitor (76)	0.22 (13%)	0.00 (0%)	0.07 (4%)	0.17 (10%)	1.00 (57%)	0.29 (17%)	1.76
LA Times (182)	0.27 (23%)	0.04 (3%)	0.04 (3%)	0.11 (10%)	0.54 (47%)	0.16 (14%)	1.16
NY Times (133)	0.33 (20%)	0.04 (3%)	0.05 (3%)	0.13 (8%)	0.80 (49%)	0.28 (17%)	1.62
Wash Post (119)	0.40 (30%)	0.08 (6%)	0.05 (4%)	0.03 (2%)	0.62 (46%)	0.14 (10%)	1.34
WS Journal (100)	0.19 (28%)	0.02 (3%)	0.05 (8%)	0.03 (5%)	0.33 (49%)	0.05 (8%)	0.67
USA Today (66)	0.18 (14%)	0.12 (10%)	0.00 (0%)	0.03 (2%)	0.83 (66%)	0.09 (7%)	1.25
Daily News (40)	0.24 (16%)	0.05 (3%)	0.07 (5%)	0.07 (5%)	0.75 (50%)	0.28 (19%)	1.49
U.S. AVG.	0.26	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.70	0.18	1.33
Avg. % of Totals	20%	4%	4%	6%	53%	14%	
NY Post (36)	0.29 (23%)	0.14 (11%)	0.08 (6%)	0.06 (5%)	0.55 (44%)	0.12 (10%)	1.25

Note: Due to rounding, individual means and percentages may not add up exactly to totals.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 7.2. Targets of Critical Statements by Individual Newspaper, 2002-2006 (Per 1,000 words and Percentage of Total)

Media Outlet (N 1,000 Word Units)	Govern- ment	Dominant Left	Dominant Right	Minor Parties / Civil Society	Business	Foreign	Total
L'Humanité (109)	0.72 (27%)	0.15 (6%)	1.49 (55%)	0.11 (4%)	0.14 (5%)	0.10 (4%)	2.71
La Croix (124)	0.73 (42%)	0.04 (2%)	0.36 (21%)	0.29 (17%)	0.01 (1%)	0.33 (19%)	1.75
Libération (192)	0.39 (19%)	0.18 (9%)	0.97 (47%)	0.29 (14%)	0.04 (2%)	0.20 (10%)	2.06
Le Monde (150)	0.31 (17%)	0.17 (9%)	0.87 (47%)	0.25 (14%)	0.01 (1%)	0.25 (14%)	1.86
Le Figaro (138)	0.29 (21%)	0.20 (14%)	0.49 (35%)	0.20 (14%)	0.00 (0%)	0.20 (14%)	1.39
Les Echos (56)	0.29 (17%)	0.02 (1%)	0.75 (46%)	0.07 (4%)	0.03 (2%)	0.47 (29%)	1.63
Le Parisien (84)	0.53 (29%)	0.12 (7%)	0.72 (39%)	0.43 (23%)	0.03 (2%)	0.01 (1%)	1.84
FRANCE	0.47	0.13	0.81	0.23	0.04	0.23	1.89
AVG.							
% of Total	25%	7%	43%	12%	2%	12%	
CS Monitor (76)	0.81 (46%)	0.03 (2%)	0.65 (37%)	0.12 (7%)	0.08 (5%)	0.08 (5%)	1.76
LA Times (182)	0.41 (35%)	0.08 (7%)	0.55 (47%)	0.03 (3%)	0.05 (4%)	0.04 (3%)	1.16
NY Times (133)	0.67 (41%)	0.05 (3%)	0.72 (44%)	0.10 (6%)	0.07 (4%)	0.02 (1%)	1.62
Wash Post (119)	0.72 (54%)	0.10 (7%)	0.40 (30%)	0.00 (0%)	0.04 (3%)	0.07 (5%)	1.34
WS Journal (100)	0.48 (72%)	0.03 (4%)	0.09 (13%)	0.00 (0%)	0.04 (6%)	0.03 (5%)	0.67
USA Today (66)	0.76 (61%)	0.05 (4%)	0.39 (31%)	0.01 (1%)	0.03 (2%)	0.01 (1%)	1.25
Daily News (40)	0.46 (31%)	0.05 (3%)	0.71 (48%)	0.12 (8%)	0.16 (11%)	0.00 (0%)	1.49
U.S.	0.61	0.05	0.50	0.05	0.07	0.04	1.33
AVG.							
% of Total	46%	4%	38%	4%	5%	3%	
NY Post (36)	0.69 (55%)	0.22 (18%)	0.28 (22%)	0.06 (5%)	0.00 (0%)	0.00 (0%)	1.25

Note: Due to rounding, individual means and percentages may not add up exactly to totals.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 7.3. *Types of Critical Statements According to Target in Core French Newspapers, 1991 and 2002-2006 (Per 1,000 words and as Percentage of Total)*

Country, Target, & Period (N 1000 word units)	Administrative	Charac -ter	Truth	Ideological	Policy	Strategy	Total (% of Total)
FRANCE 1991							
(296)							
Government	0.14 (35%)	0.01 (3%)	0.02 (5%)	0.02 (5%)	0.19 (48%)	0.01 (3%)	0.40 (12%)
Dominant Left	0.13 (9%)	0.02 (1%)	0.06 (4%)	0.16 (11%)	0.79 (54%)	0.28 (19%)	1.45 (43%)
Dominant Right	0.02 (2%)	0.08 (7%)	0.08 (7%)	0.29 (25%)	0.25 (21%)	0.46 (39%)	1.18 (35%)
All Other	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.38 (11%)
							3.41
FRANCE 02-06							
(480)							
Government	0.05 (15%)	0.00 (0%)	0.01 (3%)	0.07 (21%)	0.19 (58%)	0.01 (3%)	0.33 (19%)
Dominant Left	0.01 (6%)	0.01 (6%)	0.00 (0%)	0.01 (6%)	0.08 (44%)	0.05 (28%)	0.18 (10%)
Dominant Right	0.06 (8%)	0.04 (5%)	0.02 (3%)	0.10 (13%)	0.42 (54%)	0.16 (21%)	0.78 (44%)
All Other	--	-	--	--	--	--	0.48 (27%)
Total							1.77

Note: Due to rounding, individual means and percentages may not add up exactly to totals.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 7.4. *Types of Critical Statements According to Target in Core U.S. Newspapers, 1994 and 2002-2006 (Per 1,000 words and as Percentage of Total)*

Country, Target, & Period (N 1000 word units)	Administrative	Char.	Truth	Ideological	Policy	Strategy	Total
U.S. 1994 (95)							
Government	0.57 (48%)	0.05 (4%)	0.05 (4%)	0.06 (5%)	0.38 (32%)	0.06 (5%)	1.19 (67%)
Dominant Left	0.02 (10%)	0.01 (5%)	0.02 (10%)	0.01 (10%)	0.12 (60%)	0.02 (10%)	0.20 (11%)
Dominant Right	0.00 (0%)	0.01 (5%)	0.00 (0%)	0.04 (19%)	0.14 (67%)	0.02 (10%)	0.21 (12%)
All Other	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.17 (10%)
							1.77
U.S. 2002-06 (434)							
Government	0.22 (37%)	0.02 (3%)	0.02 (3%)	0.02 (3%)	0.29 (48%)	0.02 (3%)	0.60 (44%)
Dominant Left	0.01 (13%)	0.01 (13%)	0.00 (0%)	0.01 (13%)	0.02 (25%)	0.03 (38%)	0.08 (6%)
Dominant Right	0.07 (13%)	0.03 (5%)	0.02 (4%)	0.03 (5%)	0.28 (50%)	0.13 (23%)	0.56 (41%)
Other	--	--	--	--	--	--	0.15 (11%)
Total							1.37

Note: Due to rounding, individual means and percentages may not add up exactly to totals.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.1. *Journalistic Genres by Individual TV Channel, 2002-2006 (Percentages of Total News Packages)*

Media Outlet (N news packages)	Event News	Feature/ Back- ground	Interview transcript	Analysis	Commen- tary- Journalist	Commen- tary- Non Journalist	Ensem- ble (>1 item)	Multi-Genre (At least One Genre other than Event or Feature / Background)
FRANCE								
TF1 (85)	61.2	42.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0
France 2 (83)	57.3	45.1	1.2	6.1	0.0	0.0	12.2	7.3
CORE AVG.	59.3	43.8	0.6	3.1	0.0	0.0	10.2	3.7
Arte (58)	59.3	45.8	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	16.9	1.7
 U.S.								
ABC (50)	62.0	38.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	6.0
CBS (54)	61.1	40.7	1.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	9.3	5.6
NBC (71)	74.6	31.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	12.7	5.6
CORE AVG.	65.9	36.6	1.3	4.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	5.7
PBS (56)	51.8	28.6	51.8	0.0	8.9	1.8	42.9	62.5

Note: Categories are not mutually exclusive. Given that a single day's news package may contain multiple related items of different genres, percentage totals may exceed 100 percent.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.2. *Field Generation of Television News by Individual Channel, 2002-2006 (Percentages of Total News Packages)*

Media Outlet (N news packages)	Political field generated (of which Political Scandals as % of total)	Civil Society fields generated	Accidents	Journalistic field generated
FRANCE				
TF1 (85)	41.2 (0.0)	31.8	4.7	22.4
France 2 (83)	39.0 (0.0)	34.1	1.2	25.6
CORE AVG.	40.1	33.0	3.0	24.0
Arte (58)	35.6 (0.0)	49.2	1.7	13.6
 U.S.				
ABC (50)	48.0 (2.0)	14.0	4.0	34.0
CBS (54)	53.7 (0.0)	18.5	0.0	27.8
NBC (71)	69.1 (8.5)	12.7	0.0	18.3
CORE AVG.	56.9	15.1	1.3	26.7
PBS (56)	57.1 (0.0)	8.9	1.8	32.1

Note: Due to rounding, percentages may not add up exactly to 100.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.3. *Immigration Frames by Individual Channel: Proportion of Total Frame Mentions, 2002-2006*

Media Outlet (N frame mentions)	Global Econ.	Human.	Racism / Xenoph.	Cult. Diver	Integ.	Good Worker	Jobs	Public Order	Fiscal	Nat. Cult.
FRANCE										
TF1 (140)	3.6	38.6	9.3	4.3	12.9	4.3	0.7	17.9	1.4	7.1
France 2 (137)	6.6	40.1	8.8	2.2	13.9	2.9	0.7	17.5	2.9	4.4
CORE AVG.	5.1	39.4	9.1	3.3	13.4	3.6	0.7	17.7	2.2	5.8
Arte (106)	3.8	47.2	8.5	0.9	11.3	7.5	0.0	17.9	0.0	2.8
U.S.										
Media Outlet (N frame mentions)	Global Econ.	Human.	Racism / Xenoph.	Cult. Diver	Integ.	Good Worker	Jobs	Public Order	Fiscal	Nat. Cult.
ABC (92)	7.6	31.5	4.3	3.3	7.6	9.8	1.1	28.3	4.3	2.2
CBS (90)	0.0	31.1	5.6	1.1	4.4	11.1	3.3	31.1	7.8	4.4
NBC (116)	1.7	21.6	9.5	0.9	7.8	11.2	2.6	37.9	3.4	3.4
CORE AVG.	3.1	28.1	6.5	1.8	6.6	10.7	2.3	32.4	5.2	3.3
PBS (140)	5.0	17.1	7.1	2.9	8.6	15.7	6.4	25.7	6.4	5.0

Note: Percentages are of total frames mentioned (each coded as presence/absence in a news package).

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.4. *Types of Civil Society Actors Cited by Individual Channel, 2002-2006 (Percentages of All Citations)*

Media Outlet (N Total Speakers Cited)	Trade unions	AssocPI, L and Neutral	Acti- vists PI	Assoc AI and R	Acti- vists AI	Far Right Parties & Legis.	Reli- gious	Experts (Univ only)	Me- dia	Arts / Enter
France										
TF1 (412)	1.9	10.9	7.3	0.2	0.5	0.5	5.6	1.2 (0.5)	1.9	1.0
France 2 (436)	1.6	8.7	5.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	3.9	1.4 (1.4)	3.2	3.4
CORE AVG.	1.8	9.8	6.5	0.1	0.3	1.2	4.8	1.3 (1.0)	2.6	2.2
Arte (301)	0.3	15.9	15.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	4.3	0.7 (0.7)	2.7	1.0
<hr/>										
U.S.										
ABC (266)	0.4	6.0	3.4	2.3	0.8	0.0	1.9	4.1 (3.4)	1.1	0.4
CBS (322)	0.6	4.7	2.2	2.5	1.6	0.0	0.6	4.7 (1.6)	3.7	0.3
NBC (448)	0.7	3.6	5.1	2.0	0.2	0.0	1.8	3.6 (1.3)	2.0	0.2
CORE AVG.	0.6	4.8	3.6	2.3	0.9	0.0	1.4	4.1 (2.1)	2.3	0.3
PBS (433)	1.2	4.8	2.8	2.3	0.7	0.0	1.4	7.4 (3.9)	6.9	0.7

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.5. Civil Society Actor Citations by Field Generation and Individual Channel, 2002-2006 (Percentage of Speaker Citations)

Media Outlet	Political field generated (N Speakers)	Civil Society fields generated (N Speakers)	Journalistic field generated (N Speakers)
FRANCE			
TF1	18.0 (150)	45.8 (179)	18.4 (76)
France 2	18.0 (161)	49.4 (156)	13.8 (116)
CORE AVG.	18.0	47.6	16.1
Arte	18.2 (77)	58.0 (162)	20.7 (58)
U.S.			
ABC	14.8 (122)	41.9 (46)	18.9 (90)
CBS	13.6 (169)	40.0 (70)	18.8 (85)
NBC	13.3 (248)	42.1 (95)	11.8 (68)
CORE AVG.	13.9	41.3	16.5
PBS	25.4 (224)	49.2 (65)	20.9 (139)

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.6. *Average Quoted Words per Speaker by Individual Channel, 2002-2006*

Media Outlet	All Speakers: Average Total Words (n speakers)	University Experts: Average Total Words (n speakers)	All Speakers: Average Total Words excluding interview quotations > 300 words (n speakers)
FRANCE			
TF1	36.5 (277)	33.0 (1)	36.5 (277)
France2	38.2 (276)	67.2 (5)	38.2 (276)
CORE AVG.	37.4	50.1	37.4
Arte	48.6 (199)	102.0 (1)	48.6 (199)
 U.S.			
ABC	32.0 (154)	33.1 (8)	31.1 (151)
CBS	27.7 (184)	41.0 (5)	27.5 (181)
NBC	25.7 (233)	44.5 (2)	25.7 (233)
CORE AVG.	28.5	39.5	28.1
PBS	187.5 (213)	689.2 (9)	88.5 (106)

Note: Figures are based on word counts of the first five speakers quoted in a given news package (including all words attributed to these speakers throughout the package)

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.7. *Topical Depiction of TV Images by Individual Channel, 2002-2006 (Percentage of Total Images)*

Media Outlet (N Images)	Interview: official or expert	Interview: unaffiliated individual	TOTAL Inter- views	Journ.* Speaking on camera	TOTAL Journ. Voiceover	Journ. voice- over w/	Journ. voice- over w/	Journ. voice- over w/
						Depic- tion of Official Life	Depic- tion of Collec- tive Action	Depic- tion of Ordin. Life
U.S.								
TF1 (2360)	6.7	8.1	14.8	4.7	80.5	22.4	11.8	40.2
France 2 (2009)	7.5	8.2	15.7	5.6	78.7	27.9	10.2	32.5
CORE AVG.	7.1	8.2	15.3	5.2	79.6	25.2	11.0	36.4
Arte (1757)	8.9	8.4	17.3	4.7	78.0	26.2	17.8	31.8
U.S.								
ABC (1020)	8.4	8.8	17.2	11.7	71.1	14.4	8.4	38.7
CBS (1103)	7.0	10.8	17.8	8.0	74.2	23.9	7.9	34.2
NBC (1543)	5.7	7.9	13.6	10.1	76.3	27.2	9.4	33.1
CORE AVG.	7.0	9.2	16.2	9.9	73.9	21.8	8.6	35.3
PBS (1722)	12.5	11.3	23.8	1.5	74.7	20.5	2.8	43.9

*Journalist speaking = anchor or on-site reporter.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

**ONLINE TABLE 8.8. Action Depiction of TV Images by Individual Channel, 2002-2006
(Percentage of Total Images)**

Media Outlet (N Images)	Non-moving visuals	Moving visuals: staged Action	Moving visuals: limited action	TOTAL Non-Dramatic Images	Moving visuals: Non-violent drama	Moving Visuals: violent drama	TOTAL Dramatic Images
TF1 (2360)	18.6	34.9	32.2	85.7	14.3	0.0	14.3
France 2 (2009)	15.7	39.4	34.4	89.5	8.6	1.9	10.5
CORE AVG.	17.2	37.2	33.3	87.6	11.5	1.0	12.4
Arte (1757)	11.3	48.8	23.7	83.8	16.1	0.1	16.2
<hr/>							
U.S.							
ABC (1020)	12.5	38.2	30.3	81.0	18.5	0.4	18.9
CBS (1103)	10.9	33.6	30.9	75.4	23.3	1.3	24.6
NBC (1543)	13.0	35.4	29.9	78.3	20.5	1.1	21.6
CORE AVG.	12.1	35.7	30.4	78.2	20.8	0.9	21.7
PBS (1722)	4.5	54.5	32.2	91.2	8.7	0.1	8.8

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.9. *Tempo of TV Images by Individual Channel, 2002-2006*
(Distinct Images Per Minute)

Media Outlet (N Images)	Average Images Per Minute
FRANCE	
TF1 (2360)	15.2
France 2 (2009)	14.6
CORE AVG.	14.9
Arte (1757)	14.5
 U.S.	
ABC (1020)	11.8
CBS (1103)	14.3
NBC (1543)	13.3
CORE AVG.	13.1
PBS (1722)	9.7

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

ONLINE TABLE 8.10. *Types of Critical Statements by Individual Channel, 2002-2006*
(Statements Per News Package and Percentage of Total)

Media Outlet (N News Packages, Mean Word Length)	Admin.	Character	Truth	Ideology	Policy	Strategy	Total
FRANCE							
TF1 (85, 443)	0.11 (13%)	0.05 (6%)	0.01 (1%)	0.13 (15%)	0.49 (57%)	0.07 (8%)	0.86
France 2 (83, 507)	0.22 (18%)	0.05 (4%)	0.06 (5%)	0.10 (8%)	0.66 (55%)	0.11 (9%)	1.19
CORE AVG.	0.17 (17%)	0.05 (5%)	0.04 (4%)	0.12 (12%)	0.58 (56%)	0.09 (9%)	1.03
Arte (58, 542)	0.26 (18%)	0.07 (5%)	0.00 (0%)	0.24 (17%)	0.69 (49%)	0.16 (11%)	1.41
U.S.							
ABC (50, 544)	0.14 (14%)	0.02 (2%)	0.14 (14%)	0.08 (8%)	0.48 (48%)	0.14 (14%)	1.00
CBS (54, 522)	0.30 (28%)	0.00 (0%)	0.07 (6%)	0.04 (4%)	0.54 (50%)	0.15 (14%)	1.09
NBC (71, 546)	0.44 (41%)	0.03 (3%)	0.07 (7%)	0.03 (3%)	0.38 (36%)	0.13 (12%)	1.07
CORE AVG.	0.29 (28%)	0.02 (2%)	0.09 (9%)	0.05 (5%)	0.47 (45%)	0.14 (13%)	1.05
PBS (56, 1965)	0.48 (16%)	0.11 (4%)	0.18 (6%)	0.20 (7%)	1.68 (57%)	0.29 (10%)	2.93

Note: Due to rounding, percentages may not add up exactly to 100. Figures are raw criticisms (not per 1,000 words). Standardized criticisms can be derived by dividing raw criticisms by mean word length/1000.

Please cite as: Online Table (#) from Rodney Benson, *Shaping Immigration News: A French-American Comparison* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2013). Available at <http://rodneybenson.org/>.

**ONLINE TABLE 8.11. Targets of Critical Statements by Individual Channel, 2002-2006
(Statements Per News Package and Percentage of Total)**

Media Outlet (N News Packages, Mean Word Length)	Govern- ment	Dominant Left	Dominant Right	Minor Parties / Civil Society	Business	Foreign	Total
FRANCE							
TF1 (85, 443)	0.27 (31%)	0.01 (1%)	0.38 (44%)	0.06 (7%)	0.06 (7%)	0.08 (9%)	0.86
France 2 (83, 507)	0.47 (39%)	0.05 (4%)	0.41 (34%)	0.16 (13%)	0.05 (4%)	0.06 (5%)	1.19
CORE AVG.	0.37 (36%)	0.03 (3%)	0.40 (39%)	0.11 (11%)	0.06 (6%)	0.07 (7%)	1.03
Arte (58, 542)	0.48 (34%)	0.03 (2%)	0.48 (34%)	0.22 (16%)	0.02 (1%)	0.17 (12%)	1.41
U.S.							
ABC (50, 544)	0.46 (46%)	0.04 (4%)	0.42 (42%)	0.04 (4%)	0.04 (4%)	0.00 (0%)	1.00
CBS (54, 522)	0.52 (48%)	0.00 (0%)	0.33 (30%)	0.17 (16%)	0.06 (6%)	0.02 (2%)	1.09
NBC (71, 546)	0.66 (62%)	0.03 (3%)	0.31 (29%)	0.03 (3%)	0.01 (1%)	0.03 (3%)	1.07
CORE AVG.	0.55 (52%)	0.02 (2%)	0.35 (33%)	0.08 (8%)	0.04 (4%)	0.02 (2%)	1.05
PBS (56, 1965)	1.11 (38%)	0.11 (4%)	1.29 (44%)	0.21 (7%)	0.14 (5%)	0.07 (2%)	2.93

*Note: Due to rounding, some percentages do not add up exactly to 100. Figures are raw criticisms (not per 1,000 words). Standardized criticisms can be derived by dividing raw criticisms by mean word length/1000.